

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/575,834	04/13/2006	Franz Amtmann	AT03 0057 US1	5660
65913 NXP. B.V.	7590 04/28/200	9	EXAMINER	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			RUSHING, MARK S	
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKA	Y DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/575,834
 AMTMANN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Mark Rushing
 2612

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):
(1) <u>Scott Pojunas (Reg. No. 62,590)</u> .	(3)Mark Rushing.
(2) <u>Sean Whaley</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 4/22/09.	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 1-8 and 14-17.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Doany (US 6,377,203	).
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
limitation of how a collision is handled by the communicat generated). Examiner agreed on stated differences betwee Specification and to Claims 5-8 and 14-17, and reminded (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER	ves discussed the proposed amendment and explained the on device (what a first replacement unit is and how it is en claims and prior art, then discussed changes to the the representatives to avoid new matter.  In the sexuminer agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims d.)  ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE e last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	/Daniel Wu/
	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612